UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GLORIA JACQUEZ, CHANDRA JACQUEZ, and R.J.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE, and JACOB MORRIS,

Defendants.

Case No. 16-cv-05330 NC

VERDICT FORM (LIABILITY PHASE)

27 | 28 |

Case No. 16-cv-05330 NC

1-1

We the Jury unanimously find as follows:

QUESTION 1: Excessive Force

the Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution by using excessive force to seize Richard
Jacquez?
YES NO
[If your answer to Question 1-1 is YES, then answer Questions 1-a, 1-b, and 1-2. If
your answer to Question 1-1 is NO, then skip to Question 2]
1-a Was it feasible for Jacob Morris to warn Richard Jacquez before striking his with his vehicle?
YES NO

Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris violated

Did Jacob Morris warn Richard Jacquez before shooting him? 1-b YES _____ NO _____

Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the excessive force 1-2 caused harm to Richard Jacquez?

NO _____ YES _____ [Proceed to Question 2]

1	QUESTION 2: Fourteenth Amendment, Interference with Familial Relationship
2	2-1 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris violated
3	the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution?
4	YES NO
5	[Proceed to Question 3]
6	
7	QUESTION 3: Negligence
8	3-1 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris was
9	negligent in his use of force against Richard Jacquez?
10	YES NO
11	[If your answer to Question 3-1 is YES, then answer 3-2. If your answer to 3-1
12	is NO, then skip to Question 4]
13	3-2 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris's use of
14	force was a substantial factor in causing harm to Richard Jacquez?
15	YES NO
16	[Proceed to Question 4]
17	
18	QUESTION 4: Battery
19	4-1 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris used
20	unreasonable force against Richard Jacquez?
21	YES NO
22	[If your answer to Question 4-1 is YES, then answer 4-2. If your answer to 4-1
23	is NO, then skip to Question 6]
24	4-2 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris's use of
25	force was a substantial factor in causing harm to Richard Jacquez?
26	YES NO
27	[If your answer to Question 4-2 is YES, then answer 5. If your answer to 4-2
28	is NO, then skip to Question 6]
	Case No. 16-cv-05330 NC 3

Case No. 16-cv-05330 NC

1	QUESTION 5: Self-Defense or Defense of Others, on Battery Claim
2	5-1 Did defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris
3	reasonably believed that Richard Jacquez was going to harm him and/or another person?
4	YES NO
5	[If your answer to Question 5-1 is YES, then answer 5-2. If your answer to 5-1
6	is NO, then skip to Question 6]
7	5-2 Did defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris used
8	only the amount of force that was reasonably necessary to protect himself and/or another
9	person?
10	YES NO
11	[Proceed to Question 6]
12	
13	QUESTION 6: Bane Act
14	6-1 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris acted
15	violently against Richard Jacquez for the purpose of preventing him from exercising his
16	right to be free from unreasonable seizure, that Richard Jacquez was harmed, and that
17	Jacob Morris acted intentionally?
18	YES NO
19	[If your answer to Question 6-1 is YES, then answer 6-2. If your answer to 6-1
20	is NO, then skip to Question 7]
21	6-2 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Jacob Morris's conduct
22	was a substantial factor in causing harm to Richard Jacquez?
23	YES NO
24	
25	When Verdict Form completed, please have Presiding Juror sign and date this form and
26	alert Courtroom Deputy.
27	
28	Date:, 2018 Presiding Juror: